

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 23313-1450 www.uspio.gov

ELECTRONIC

04/01/2009

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,869	08/30/2001	Pascal Arnaud	212527US0	7528
22850 7590 0401/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			YU, GINA C	
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1611	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/941.869 ARNAUD, PASCAL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit GINA C. YU 1611 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 February 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 53-57, 60, and 61 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-27, 31-42, 44-51, 58, 62-78, 81-95, 101-102, 105, 106, 109-112 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of informal Patent Application

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

6) Other:

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 2-27, 31-42, 44-51, 53-58, 60-78, 81-95, 101-102, 105, 106, 109-112 .

Art Unit: 1611

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of response filed on February 18, 2009. Claim rejections made in the previous Office action dated November 18, 2008 are withdrawn in view of applicant's remarks. The finality of the same Office action is withdrawn, and the prosecution is reopened.

Claims 2-27, 31-42, 44-51, 53-58, 60-78, 81-95, 101- 102, 105, 106, 109-112 are pending, of which claims 53-57, 60, and 61 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 5-10, 31-35, 37-42, 44-51, 58, 62, 63, 65-70, 81-83, 85-95, 101-103, 105-106, 109-112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mellul (US 5738841) in view of Nojima (EP 0548694 A1).

The independent claims, claims 62 and 101, are directed to a transferresistant composition comprising (a) at least one non-volatile hydrocarbon-based
oil with a molecular mass ranging from 230 to 420 g/Mol; (b) a silicone
component consisting of one or more enlisted non-volatile silicone compound(s)
in the claim; (c) from about 0.1-30 % by weight of the composition of an inert
particulate phase; and (d) from 0 to about 5 % by weight of the total weight of the
composition of a volatile oil, wherein the composition does not contain a silicone
compound which is alkoxylated.

Art Unit: 1611

Mellul teaches a cosmetic lipstick composition comprising 60 % octyldodecyl neopentanoate (non-volatile hydrocarbon oil, MW 382.67), 0.1 % diphenyldimethicone (non alkoxylated non-volatile silicone oil), 5% alkyldimethicone (non-alkoxylated non-volatile silicone), and 12 % pigments. See examples 5 and 6; instant claims 5-10, 62-69, 91-95, 101, 103, 107. The reference teaches using octyldodecyl neopentanoate in 0.5-99 % by weight. See col. 2, lines 30 - 33; instant claims 32-35, 82. The reference teaches octyldodecyl neopentanoate is "an excellent agent for compatibilizing siliconecontaining compounds with each other, has good thermal and chemical stability. and makes it possible to obtain compositions with much oilier texture on application than comparison with compositions of the prior art not containing it". See col. 2, lines 11 - 21. The reference further teaches the ester has dispersant properties with respect to powders, and makes it possible to make a homogeneous dispersion. See col. 2, lines 21 – 24. The reference also teaches using at least one silicone-containing compound such as polymethylsiloxanes. alkyldimethicone, polyphenylmethylsiloxane, more specifically, phenyldimethicone and phenyltrimethicone; and silicones modified with aliphatic and/or aromatic groups, which optionally contain fluorine, or with functional groups such as hydroxyl, thiol and/or amine groups. See col. 2, lines 47- col. 3. line 5; see Example 3. See instant claims 103, 105, 107, 109, and 110. Mellul further teaches the compositions can be formulated into various products including compacted powder (foundation, blusher or eveshadow) or anhydrous

Art Unit: 1611

products (lipstick, mascara). See col. 4, lines 11-16; instant claims 50, 51, 93, and 94.

Regarding claims 41-45, 87-90, Mellul teaches the compositions can contain a pulverulent phase comprising pigments and/or fillers (inert particulates). Possible pigments and fillers suitable for the make up compositions are taught, with some, for example, titanium dioxide coated mica and pearlescent, are named as either pigments or fillers. See col. 3, lines 30-45. The disclosed lipstick formulations of Examples 5 and 6 contain 11.9 % and 12 % of pigments, respectively, and do not specifically indicate the amount of fillers. Generally, differences in concentration or temperature will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentration or temperature is critical. "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." See In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456. 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). In this case, based on the disclosure that a pulverulent phase can contain pigments and/or fillers, and lipstick formulations comprising specific amounts of pigments, the amount of fillers does not appear to be critical. It is viewed obvious for a skilled artisan to have discovered optimal amount of fillers of similar compositions within the disclosed range of pigments by routine experimentations.

Although Mellul mentions disadvantages of volatile hydrocarbon oils in col.

1, lines 22-28, the reference teaches using cyclomethicones D4, D5, and D6, which are volatile.

Art Unit: 1611

Nojima teaches volatile oils reduce the glossiness and stability of makeup products, particularly lipsticks, over time. See Nojima, p. 2, lines 13-18. Nojima teaches long-lasting, oil-based solid cosmetic compositions comprising one or more polyoxyalkylene modified silicones and one or more cosmetically acceptable oils, pigments and fillers. See abstract; Tables. See p. 4, lines 45 – 47 and lines 52 – 54 for the types of fillers and the amount used.

Since Nojima teaches a specific motivation to refrain from adding volatile oils in lipstick formulations, it is obvious that a skilled artisan would have been motivated to select the non-volatile silicone oils over volatile oils. See instant claims 103, 105, 107, and 109-112.

Regarding the exclusionary proviso of alkoxylated silicone compounds of instant claims 62 and 101, Nojima does not teach away from the presently claimed invention because 1) the reference is cited to show the motivation to avoid volatile oils from formulating lipsticks; and 2) combining the teachings of Mellul and Nojima as proposed here does not necessarily require adding the alkoxylated silicone of Nojima. Since Mellul already discloses formulations without alkoxylated silicone or volatile oils, the skilled artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully producing stable lipsticks that are similar to Mellul which does not lose glossiness over time.

Claims 2-4, 11-19, 21-27, 36, 64, 71, 72, 74-78, and 84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mellul and Nojima as applied to claims 5-10, 31-35, 37-42, 44-51, 58, 62, 63, 65-70, 81-83, 85-95,

Art Unit: 1611

101-103, 105-107, 109-112 above, and further in view of Jacks et al. (US 5690918).

Mellul and Nojima fail to teach non-volatile hydrocarbon oil having the MW limitation of instant claims 2-4 and the dispersant of the instant claims 11-19, 21-23, 36, 71, 72, 74-79, 84.

Jacks teaches that it is well known in cosmetic art that isononyl isononanoate (non volatile hydrocarbon oil, MW 284.48 g/mole) is used to make lipstick compositions. See Example 2; instant claims 2-4. The reference also teaches using 10.34 % of diisoarachidyl dilinoleate (dispersant) in the lipstick formulation. See Example 2. See instant claims 11-19, 21-23, 36, 71, 72, 74-79, 84.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the lipstick composition of the combined references by substituting octyldodecyl neopentanoate and a diisoarachidyl dilinoleate with isononyl isononanoate as motivated by Jacks because the references are directed to lipstick formulations and Nojima and Jacks teach that these hydrocarbon oils are well known functional equivalents in lipstick art. The skilled artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully producing a stable lipstick composition with similar effectiveness.

Claims 20 and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nojima and Mellul as applied to claims 5-10, 31-35, 37-42, 44-51, 58, 62, 63, 65-70, 81-83, 85-95, 101-103, 105-107, 109-112 as above, and further in view of JP 63119412.

Art Unit: 1611

Mellul and Nojima, discussed above, do not teach poly(hydroxyl-12) stearic acid.

JP 63119412 abstract teaches 12-hydroxystearic acids is well known in lipstick art, and that the compositions having 12-hydroxystearic acid along with polyhydric alcohol esters of rosin, fatty acids with polyhydric alcohol gives improved luster and good dispersion of color. See abstract.

It is generally considered <u>prima facie</u> obvious to combine two compounds each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a composition which is to be used for the very same purpose. The idea for combining them flows logically from their having been used individually in the prior art. See <u>In re Kerkhoven</u>, 626 F.2d 848, 205 USPQ 1069 (CCPA 1980). As shown by the recited teachings, the instant claims define nothing more than the concomitant use of conventional lipstick agents. It would follow that the recited claims define <u>prima facie</u> obvious subject matter.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added 12-hydroxystearic acid as motivated by JP 63119412 because of the expectation of successfully producing a lipstick composition with improved luster and good dispersion of color, as taught by the Japanese abstract.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on February 18, 2009 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1611

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GINA C. YU whose telephone number is (571)272-8605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 9:00AM until 5:30 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sharmila Landau can be reached on 571-272-0614. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gina C. Yu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1611